

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/616,635	07/26/2000	Kevin R. Boyle	PHB 34,367 9407	
24737 75	90 06/21/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTI	ELLECTUAL PROPER	RAMPURIA, SHARAD K		
P.O. BOX 3001	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
bid/dicebil i	viritor, iti iosio		2617	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/616,6	35	BOYLE, KEVIN R.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sharad R	ampuria	2617				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
Period fo								
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED IN A CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IN THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING IN THE MONTH IN THE M	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ev ion. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this come ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 April 2006						
		This action is n	on-final					
· _	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,						
·		na in the applica	tion					
	 Claim(s) 1-3,5-12 and 14-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12 and 14-18</u> is/are rejected.							
*) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election r	equirement.					
ŕ	· /		- 4					
_	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa		_					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	•		-	* *			
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. No	ne the attached Office	e Action or form PTC	J-152.			
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu		• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ed in this National S	tage			
* 0	application from the International B	·	, ,,	1				
- 8	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certi	nea copies not receive	ea.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 8	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

I. The Art Unit location of this application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

II. The current office-action is in response to the amendment filed on 04/12/2006.

Accordingly, Claims 4 and 13 are cancelled and Claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14-18 are pending for further examination as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- III. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- IV. Claims 1, 5, 7, 9-10, 14, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Houlihan (US 5467324) in view of Hayes et al. (US 5892483).

Regarding Claim 1, Houlihan disclosed a body-worn personal communications apparatus (Abstract, 100; Fig.1; Col.3; 1-12), comprising:

A transceiver connected to said physically-shortened electric antenna (Col.3; 41-51 and Claim 1);

A microphone (132; Fig.1) connected to said transceiver; (Col.3; 31-40) and A casing, wherein said transceiver is disposed within said casing, (Abstract, 100; Fig.1; Col.2; 51-67, Col.3; 1-12)

Wherein microphone (332; fig.9) is mounted on said physically-shortened electric antenna (Col.1; 67–Col.2; 5 and Col.5; 28-32)

Wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna and said microphone are mounted transversely to a plane through said casing. (236; Fig.6, Col.4; 39-44)

Houlihan fails to disclose a physically-shortened electric antenna that is physically shorter than its electrical length and wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is inaccessible to user. However, Hayes teaches in an analogous art, that a physically-shortened electric antenna that is physically shorter than its electrical length (electrical length is greater than physical length; Col.4; 39-46 and 55-64 and Col.1; 52-61).

Wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is inaccessible to user. (14; Fig.3, e.g. printed circuit antenna is located inside the housing which is inaccessible to user; Col.3; 63-Col.4; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Houlihan including a physically-shortened electric antenna that is physically shorter than its electrical length and wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is inaccessible to user in order to improve miniaturization.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding Claim 5, Houlihan disclosed the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said microphone is located at an end of said physically-shortened electric antenna furthest from said casing. (332; fig.9; Col.5; 28-32)

Regarding Claim 7, Houlihan (5,467,324) disclosed The apparatus of claim 5, wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is formed from a hollow wire, wherein a first electrical connection between said microphone and said transceiver is provided by said hollow wire, and wherein a second electrical connection between said microphone and said transceiver is provided by a conductor enclosed by said hollow wire. (Col.3; 41-51)

Regarding Claim 9, Houlihan disclosed the apparatus of claim 5, wherein said microphone provides a top loading to said physically-shortened electric antenna. (332; fig.9; Col.5; 28-32)

Regarding Claim 10, Houlihan disclosed a body-worn personal communications apparatus (Abstract, 100; Fig.1; Col.3; 1-12), comprising:

A casing; (Abstract, 100; Fig.1; Col.2; 51-67, Col.3; 1-12) and

A physically-shortened electric mounted transversely to a plane through said casing. (236; Fig.6, Col.4; 39-44)

Houlihan fails to disclose wherein the physically-shortened electric antenna that is physically shorter than its electrical length and wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is inaccessible to user. However, Hayes teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the

physically-shortened electric antenna that is physically shorter than its electrical length (electrical length is greater than physical length; Col.4; 39-46 and 55-64 and Col.1; 52-61); Wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is inaccessible to user. (14; Fig.3, e.g. printed circuit antenna is located inside the housing which is inaccessible to user; Col.3; 63-Col.4; 4)

Regarding Claim 14, Houlihan disclosed the apparatus of claim 10, wherein said microphone is located at an end of said physically-shortened electric antenna furthest from said casing. (332; fig.9; Col.5; 28-32)

Regarding Claim 17, Houlihan (5,467,324) disclosed The apparatus of claim 10, wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is formed from a hollow wire, wherein a first electrical connection between said microphone and said transceiver is provided by said hollow wire, and wherein a second electrical connection between said microphone and said transceiver is provided by a conductor enclosed by said hollow wire. (Col.3; 41-51)

Regarding Claim 18, Houlihan disclosed the apparatus of claim 10, wherein said microphone provides a top loading to said physically-shortened electric antenna. (332; fig.9; Col.5; 28-32)

V. Claims 3, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Houlihan and Hayes further in view of Hirai et al. (US 6429829).

Regarding Claim 3, the above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except a meander-line antenna. However, Hirai teaches in an analogous art, that the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is a meander-line antenna. (16; Fig.1; Col.3; 11-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Houlihan and Hayes including a meander-line antenna in order to minimize the space required for the antenna.

Regarding Claim 12, the above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except a meander-line antenna. However, Hirai teaches in an analogous art, that the apparatus of claim 10, wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is a meander-line antenna. (16; Fig.1; Col.3; 11-17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Houlihan and Hayes including a meander-line antenna in order to minimize the space required for the antenna.

VI. Claims 2, 6, 8, 11, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Houlihan and Hayes further in view of McLean (GB 2036447).

Regarding Claim 2, Houlihan disclosed a body-worn personal communications apparatus (Abstract, 100; Fig.1; Col.3; 1-12), comprising:

A casing; (Abstract, 100; Fig.1; Col.2; 51-67, Col.3; 1-12) and

A physically-shortened electric mounted transversely to a plane through said casing. (236; Fig.6, Col.4; 39-44)

Art Unit: 2617

Houlihan fails to disclose wherein the physically-shortened electric antenna that is physically shorter than its electrical length and wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is inaccessible to user. However, Hayes teaches in an analogous art, that wherein the physically-shortened electric antenna that is physically shorter than its electrical length (electrical length is greater than physical length; Col.4; 39-46 and 55-64 and Col.1; 52-61); wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is inaccessible to user. (14; Fig.3, e.g. *printed circuit antenna is located inside the housing which is inaccessible to user*; Col.3; 63-Col.4; 4)

The above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is a helical antenna. However, McLean teaches in an analogous art, that the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is a helical antenna. (Page.2; 1-6) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Houlihan and Hayes including wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is a helical antenna in order to use the thickness of cable.

Regarding Claim 6, the above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except the coaxial cable. However, McLean teaches in an analogous art, that The apparatus of claim 5, wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is formed from a coaxial cable that provides electrical connections between said microphone and said transceiver. (Page.2; 45-59)

Regarding Claim 8, the above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except the coaxial cable. However, McLean teaches in an analogous art, that The apparatus of claim 6, wherein said microphone provides a low impedance at radio frequencies to thereby

Art Unit: 2617

enable said coaxial cable forming said physically-shortened electric antenna to act as an inductive stub. (Page.2; 45-64)

Regarding Claim 11, the above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is a helical antenna. However, McLean teaches in an analogous art, that apparatus of claim 10, wherein said physically shortened electric antenna is a helical antenna. (Page.2; 1-6)

Regarding Claim 15, the above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except the coaxial cable. However, McLean teaches in an analogous art, that The apparatus of claim 10, further comprising: a transceiver, wherein said physically-shortened electric antenna is formed from a coaxial cable that provides electrical connections between said microphone and said transceiver. (Page.2; 45-59)

Regarding Claim 16, the above combination disclosed all the particulars of the claim except the coaxial cable. However, McLean teaches in an analogous art, that The apparatus of claim 15, wherein said microphone provides a low impedance at radio frequencies to thereby enable said coaxial cable forming said physically-shortened electric antenna to act as an inductive stub. (Page.2; 45-59)

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Amendments & Arguments

VII. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-12 and 14-18 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

VIII. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

IX. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5).

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC@uspto.gov.

Sharad Rampuria Examiner Art Unit 2617

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER